PECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 2-0 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventors:

1) Riccardo Brun del Re

2) Hans Kolpin

3) Izmail Batkin

Serial No:

10/509,054

Filed:

24 September 2004

Art Unit:

3762

Confirm No.:

9935

Title:

SKIN IMPEDANCE MATCHED BIOPOTENTIAL ELECTRODE

PCT Appln.:

PCT/CA2003/00426

Int'l Filing Date:

26 March 2003

Our File:

CORDL-07.PCT/US (5041-07-14)

February 19, 2007

Mail Stop Amendments

By Fax: (571) 273-8300

The Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I, the undersigned Registered Patent Attorney, hereby certify that the documents delivered herewith are being transmitted by telephone facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the above date.

The documents being transmitted are as follows:

Response dated February 19, 2007, to the Office Action dated

Respect fully summitted,

January 18, 2007 (2 pages).

Per

Ywid VINIM

David J

Reg. No. 31,229

such documents have been transmitted in accordance with the particulars on the attached telefax confirmation sheet.

Milton Geller

225 Metcalfe Street, Suite 700

Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2P 1P9 Telephone: 613-567-7824 x 232 x 231

Telefax:

613-567-4689

BECEIVED CENTRAL FAX GENTER FEB 2-0 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventors:

1) Riccardo Brun del Re

2) Hans Kolpin

3) Izmail Batkin

Serial No:

10/509,054

Filed:

24 September 2004

Art Unit:

3762

Confirm No.:

9935

Title:

SKIN IMPEDANCE MATCHED BIOPOTENTIAL

ELECTRODE

PCT Appln.:

PCT/CA2003/00426

Int'l Filing Date: 26 March 2003

Our File:

CORDL-07.PCT/US (5041-07-14)

February 19, 2007 By Fax: (571)273-8300

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

Response to Office Action

This letter is in response to the Examiner's Office Action of January 18, 2007.

Election

The Examiner has issued a Restriction requirement under 35 USC 121 and 372. With traverse, the applicant elects the claims of Group II, namely:

2, 10(2), 11/10/2, 12/10/2, 15 - 20, and 22 - 24,

drawn to the combination of an electrode and amplifier circuit.

The applicant traverses this requirement because the Examiner's statement that the electrode in Group II is broadly recited and the electrode of Group I is specifically set forth